

From Strange to Charmed Baryons Using $N_f = 2$ Twisted Mass QCD

Mauro Papinutto




in collaboration with J. Carbonell and V. Drach

Lattice2010, 15th June 2010, Villasimius, Italy

Setup

- ETMC configurations with $N_f = 2$ dynamical light flavours at **three values of** $a \in \{0.051, 0.064, 0.080\}\text{fm}$ and physical volume $L \sim 2.0 \div 2.4\text{fm}$
- light sea quark masses corresponding to $M_\pi \in [290, 520]\text{MeV}$
- partially quenched valence strange/charm (in the following called “heavy”) quarks $\Rightarrow M_K \in [530, 710]\text{MeV}$ and $M_D \in [1.80, 2.40]\text{GeV}$. **In all 40 different combinations** (M_π, M_{hl}).


- **Octet and decuplet baryon two-point correlators corresponding to the ground floor and the rear wall of the two 20plets.** For the charmed baryons with $J = 1/2$: $\Lambda[udc]$, $\Sigma[udc]$, $\Xi[ucc]$ and with $J = 3/2$: $\Sigma^*[udc]$, $\Xi^*[ucc]$, $\Omega[ccc]$



Motivations



- Extend the study of the strange baryon spectrum in [Alexandrou *et al.*, 2009] to the finest lattice spacing $a \simeq 0.051$ to better assess the size of lattice artefacts for strange baryons.
- Investigate the size of lattice artefacts in the spectrum of charmed baryons (with one, two and three charm quarks).
- Investigate the strange and the charm mass dependence and the crossover between the two regions.

At $a = 0.080\text{fm}$ and $M_\pi \simeq 340\text{MeV}$ the “heavy” quark mass (m_h) dependence of the baryon spectrum looks like:




- Is the behavior with m_h affected or not by large lattice artefact in the charm region? Notice e.g. that the splitting between $J = 1/2$ and $J = 3/2$ states (Σ/Σ^* and Ξ/Ξ^*) diminishes with the increase of m_h as predicted by quark models.

$$\Omega_{[sss]} \text{ and } \Omega_{[ccc]}$$





$$\Delta M_{\text{eff}}(t) \propto \exp((M_{\Omega} - \frac{3}{2}M_{\bar{h}h})t)$$




- Combined fit of the form

$$M_\Omega = M_0 + AM_\pi^2 + BM_{hl}^2 + CM_{hl}^4$$

- 40 data points, $\chi^2_{\text{d.o.f.}} = 1.87$: no evidence of lattice artefacts!



- extrapolation to the physical (M_π, M_K) point gives
 $M_{\Omega_s} = 1.94(15)\text{GeV}$
 to be compared with the
 $M_{\Omega_s}^{\text{exp}} = 1.672\text{GeV}$
- extrapolation to the physical (M_π, M_D) point gives
 $M_{\Omega_c} = 4.73(35)\text{GeV}$
 Experimental value missing.



- **Check:** combined fit of the form only in the strange region

$$M_{\Omega} = \bar{M}_0 + \bar{A}M_{\pi}^2 + \bar{B}M_{\text{hl}}^2$$

- 13 data points, $\chi_{\text{d.o.f.}}^2 = 1.56$: $\bar{M}_0, \bar{A}, \bar{B}$ perfectly compatible with M_0, A, B
- **Remark:** very mild dependence of M_{Ω} on the light quark mass. It can be well parametrized in terms of the combination $2M_{\text{hl}}^2 - M_{\pi}^2 \propto m_h$ at leading order in χPT :

$$M_{\Omega} = M_0 + A(2M_{\text{hl}}^2 - M_{\pi}^2) + B(2M_{\text{hl}}^2 - M_{\pi}^2)^2$$



- M_{Ω_s} 15% larger than exp. value. **No signs of lattice artefacts.** Effect due to the chiral extrapolation? Partial quenching? Contamination by excited states?

$$\Xi_{[uss]} \text{ and } \Xi_{[ucc]}$$


- Twisted mass QCD breaks isospin symmetry $\Rightarrow \Xi_s^0$ and Ξ_s^- are not degenerate.

- Combined fit to M_{Ξ^0} and M_{Ξ^-} with the same continuum part:



$$M_{\Xi\{0,-\}} = M_0 + AM_\pi^2 + DM_\pi^3 + BM_{\text{hl}}^2 + CM_{\text{hl}}^4 + A_{\{0,-\}}a^2 + B_{\{0,-\}}a^2M_{\text{hl}}^2$$



- 80 data points, $\chi_{\text{d.o.f.}}^2 = 1.77$, $A_0 > A_- > 0$ and $B_0 < B_- < 0$
- $\Rightarrow B_{\{0,-\}}a^2M_{\text{hl}}^2$ compensate $A_{\{0,-\}}a^2$ when M_{hl} increases: artefacts are larger in the strange region!



- extrapolation to the physical (M_π, M_K) point gives
 $M_{\Xi_s} = 1.37(12)\text{GeV}$
 to be compared with
 $M_{\Xi_s}^{\text{exp}} = 1.32\text{GeV}$
- extrapolation to the physical (M_π, M_D) point gives
 $M_{\Xi_c} = 3.52(25)\text{GeV}$
 in perfect agreement with
 $M_{\Xi_c}^{\text{exp}} = 3.52\text{GeV}$





$\Lambda_{[uds]}$ and $\Lambda_{[udc]}$



- Combined fit of the form

$$M_\Lambda = M_0 + AM_\pi^2 + BM_{\text{hl}}^2 + CM_{\text{hl}}^4 + DM_\pi^3$$

- 40 data points, $\chi^2_{\text{d.o.f.}} = 1.01$: no evidence of lattice artefacts!



- Strong dependence on M_π , term in M_π^3 reduces $\chi^2_{\text{d.o.f.}}$ of a factor 0.5.
- Chiral extrapolation is critical:



- extrapolation to the physical (M_π, M_K) point gives $M_{\Lambda_s} = 1.20(10)\text{GeV}$ to be compared with $M_{\Lambda_s}^{\text{exp}} = 1.116\text{GeV}$
- extrapolation to the physical (M_π, M_D) point gives $M_{\Lambda_c} = 2.24(18)\text{GeV}$ in good agreement with $M_{\Lambda_c}^{\text{exp}} = 2.286\text{GeV}$

Conclusions and outlook

- for the cases addressed in this preliminary study, lattice artefacts are always small (negligible) in both the strange and the charm regions and only in the case of the Ξ they give a significant contribution (in the strange region).
- the chiral extrapolation in the light quarks confirms to be critical and a term of order M_π^3 is needed for both Ξ and Λ (it is particularly evident in this last case).
- our extended analysis confirms the results of [Alexandrou *et al.*, 2009]. M_Ω turns out to be still in disagreement with the experimental value but the source of this discrepancy seems unrelated to the scaling to the continuum limit.
- results for the charmed M_{Ξ_c} and M_{Λ_c} nicely agree with exp. results. We got moreover a prediction for M_{Ω_c} .
- we are extending this preliminary analysis the other charmed baryons and we are also increasing the statistics of many ensembles. We have also computed correlators to extract strange-charmed baryons. A complete analysis will be performed in the next future.

