On the T-odd Quark Fragmentation Function

A.V.Efremov[a*], O.G.Smirnova[a] and L.G.Tkatchev[a]

[a]JINR, Dubna, 141980 Russia

The first probe of the correlation of the T-odd one-particle fragmentation function responsible for the left–right asymmetry of fragmentation of a transversely polarized quark and an antiquark is done by using the 1991-95 DELPHI data for $Z \to 2$ jet decay. Integrated over the fraction of longitudinal and transverse momenta, this correlation is up to 16 ppm order, which means order of 13% for the analysing power. This makes us hope to use this effect in polarized DIS experiments for transversity measurement.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of spin effects in high-energy interactions provides a sensitive test for models of strong interaction dynamics and have produced a number of surprises. The transfer of nucleon polarization to quarks is investigated in polarized deep inelastic scattering experiments [1]. The corresponding nucleon spin structure functions for the longitudinal and transversal spin distributions for proton, g_1 and h_1, are well known. The opposite process, the spin transfer from partons to a final hadron, is also of fundamental interest. Analogies of f_1, g_1 and h_1 are functions D_1, G_1 and H_1, which describe the fragmentation of a non-polarized quark into a non-polarized hadron and a longitudinally or transversely polarized quark into a longitudinally or transversely polarized hadron, respectively [1].

These fragmentation functions are integrated over the transverse momentum $\mathbf{k}_T$ of a quark with respect to a hadron. With $\mathbf{k}_T$ taken into account, new possibilities arise. Using the Lorentz- and P-invariance one can write in the leading twist approximation 8 independent spin structures[2,3]. Most spectacularly it is seen in the helicity basis where one can build 8 independent combinations, linear in spin matrices of the quark and hadron $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, $\mathbf{S}$ with momenta $\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{P}$. Especially interesting is a new structure that describes a left–right asymmetry in the fragmentation of a transversely polarized quark, $H_1^\perp \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{k}_T)/P\langle k_T \rangle$, where the coefficient $H_1^\perp$ is a function of the longitudinal momentum fraction z, quark transverse momentum k_T^2 and $\langle k_T \rangle$ is an average of the transverse momentum, since in fragmentation to a non-polarized or zero spin hadron, not only D_1 but also the $H_1^\perp$ term will survives. Together with its analogies in distribution functions f_1 and $h_1^\perp$, it opens a unique chance of doing spin physics with non-polarized or zero spin hadrons! In particular, since the $H_1^\perp$ term is helicity-odd, it makes possible to measure the proton transversity distribution h_1 in semi-inclusive DIS from a transversely polarized target by measuring the left-right asymmetry of forward produced pions (see [4,5] and references therein). The first measurement of this asymmetry was reported here (see talks of A.Bravar and H.Avakian).

The problem is that, first, this function is completely unknown both theoretically and experimentally and should be measured independently. Second, one should keep in mind that the function $H_1^\perp$ is the so-called T-odd fragmentation function: under the naive time reversal $\mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{k}_T$, $\mathbf{S}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ change sign, which demands a purely imaginary (or zero) $H_1^\perp$ in the contradiction with hermiticity. This does not mean the breaking of T-invariance but rather the presence of an interference term of different channels with different phase shifts in forming the final state, like in the case of the single spin asymmetry phenomena [6]. A simple model for this function could be found in [7]. It was also conjectured [8], however, that the final state phase shift can average to zero for a single hadron fragmentation upon summing over

*efremov@thsun1.jinr.ru; supported by H.-L. Grant.

[1]We use the notation of the work [2].

unobserved states X. Thus, the situation here is far from being clear.

Meanwhile, the data collected by DELPHI (and other LEP experiments) give a unique possibility to measure the function $H_1^\perp$. The point is that despite the fact that the transverse polarization of a quark (and antiquark) in Z^0 decay is very small ($O(m_q/M_Z)$), there is a correlation between transverse polarizations of a quark and antiquark in the Standard Model: $C_{TT}^{q\bar{q}} = (v_q^2 - a_q^2)/(v_q^2 + a_q^2)$, which reaches rather high values at Z^0 peak: $C_{TT}^{u,c} \approx -0.74$ and $C_{TT}^{d,s,b} \approx -0.35$. With the production cross section ratio $\sigma_u/\sigma_d = 0.78$ this gives the value $\overline{C_{TT}} \approx -0.5$ for the average over flavours.

The spin correlation results in a peculiar azimuthal angle dependence of produced hadrons (the so-called "Collins asymmetry"), if the T-odd fragmentation function $H_1^\perp$ does exist [7,9,10]. The first probe of it was done some years ago [11] by using a limited DELPHI statistics with the result $\left|\overline{H_1^\perp/D_1}\right| \leq 0.3$.

A simpler method has been proposed recently by an Amsterdam group [3]. They predict a specific azimuthal asymmetry of a hadron in a jet around the axis in direction of the second hadron in the opposite jet [2]:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_2 d\phi_1} \propto (1+\cos^2\theta_2) \times \left(1 + \frac{6}{\pi}\left[\frac{H_1^{q\perp}}{D_1^q}\right]^2 C_{TT}^{q\bar{q}} \frac{\sin^2\theta_2}{1+\cos^2\theta_2}\cos(2\phi_1)\right) \quad (1)$$

where θ_2 is the polar angle of the electron and the second hadron momenta $\mathbf{P}_2$, and ϕ_1 is the azimuthal angle counted-off the ($\mathbf{P}_2$, $\mathbf{e}^-$)-plane.

2. EVENT SELECTION AND MEASUREMENTS

The analysis covered the DELPHI data collected from 1991 through 1995. All particles were generically assumed to be pions. Only charged particles were analysed. About 3.5 millions of Z° hadronic decays were selected by using the standard selection criteria [12].

Jets were reconstructed by the JADE algorithm with varying the parameter $y_{cut} =$ 0.08, 0.05, 0.03 or 0.01. Only 2-jet events were retained for the analysis with additional thrust value selection requirement either $T \geq 0$ or $T \geq$ 0.95. To get rid of low efficiency of the end-caps of the detector, events with the polar angle of the sphericity axis $|\cos\theta_{\rm sp}| \geq 0.90$ were cut off and tracks with $|\cos\theta_{\rm tr}| \geq 0.98$ were rejected, too. In addition, the acollinearity of the two jets $\Delta\theta_{jj}^{max}$ was required to be $\leq 5^\circ$. A leading particle in each jet was selected both positive and negative.

To study the detector response, a sample of Monte-Carlo events generated with JETSET and passed through the same analysis chain as the data was used. With these events, the correction factor

$$f_{\rm corr} = \frac{N_{\rm generated}(\theta_2,\phi_1)}{N_{\rm simulated}(\theta_2,\phi_1)} \quad (2)$$

was built for each bin in the azimuthal angle of the first leading particle ϕ_1 and in the polar angle of the leading particle from the opposite jet θ_2 (see Expr. (1)).

The true distribution was defined as $N_{\rm true} = f_{\rm corr} N_{\rm raw}$ and histograms in ϕ_1 for each bin of θ_2 were fitted by the expression [3]

$$P_0(1 + P_2\cos 2\phi_1 + P_3\cos\phi_1). \quad (3)$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For raw data $P_2^{\rm raw}$ is positive (≈ 0.02) for θ_2 close to 90° but it becomes negative (up to -0.09) for θ_2 close to 0° and 180°. The same property but with a larger value of $P_2^{\rm sim}$ (≈ 0.03 in the vicinity of 90°) is shown by MC-simulated events. This feature is clearly interpreted as a consequence of low efficiency of the DELPHI detector in the end-cups regions and of the polar angle cut-offs.

Indeed, track 1 is more close to the cone of the "dead zone" when the angle ϕ_1 is close to 180° (for $\theta_2 < 90^\circ$) or to 0° (for $\theta_2 > 90^\circ$), which

[2] We assume the factorised Gaussian form of k_T dependence for $H_1^{q\perp}$ and D_1^q integrated over $|k_T|$.

[3] The term with $\cos\phi_1$ is proportional to the k_T/E due to the twist-3 contribution of usual one-particle fragmentation

decreases the number of events at the ends of ϕ_1-histogram and produces a negative value of P_2. In contrast to this, the low efficiency between TPC-segments of the detector decreases the number of events in the centre of the ϕ_1-histogram (near 90°) and produces a positive values of P_2.

The positivity area increases for stronger jet selection criteria (smaller $y_{\rm cut}$ and larger T-cut) with more narrow jets, but the value of P_2 decreases.

The $P_2^{\rm gen}$ for pure JETSET shows a weaker dependence on θ_2 and is much smaller in magnitude. In the region $45^\circ < \theta_2 < 135^\circ$ this parameter is zero within the error bars. Therefore this region was considered as the most reliable for the determination of $P_2^{\rm true}$. The best result for corrected data was obtained for $y_{\rm cut} = 0.03$ and $T \geq 0.95$ selections. The value of $P_2^{\rm true}$ averaged over this region was found to be

$$P_2^{\rm true} = -0.0026 \pm 0.0018 \ . \qquad (4)$$

The corresponding analysing power summed over z and averaged over quark flavours with $\overline{C_{TT}} \approx -0.5$ (assuming $H_1^\perp = \sum_H H_1^{\perp,q/H}$ is flavour independent) according to Exp. (1) is

$$\left| \frac{H_1^\perp}{D_1} \right| = 6.3 \pm 2.0\% \ . \qquad (5)$$

Regretfully, a rather small value of $P_2^{\rm true}$ and, especially, the fact that it was obtained effectively as a result of subtraction of much larger values of $P_2^{\rm raw}$ and $P_2^{\rm sim}$ do not allow us to consider the θ_2-dependence of $P_2^{\rm true}$ seriously. Nevertheless, we risk to present this dependence in the whole interval of θ_2 in Fig.1 with corresponding fit

$$P_2^{\rm true}(\theta_2) = -(15.8 \pm 3.4) \frac{\sin^2\theta_2}{1+\cos^2\theta_2} \ ppm$$

which increases the value of analysing power (5) up to $12.9 \pm 1.4\%$. The distinction with (5) demonstrates, however, that systematic errors are by all means larger than the statistical ones and need further investigation. To study this dependence in more detail, one has to increase the statistics, e.g. by inclusion into study not only the leading but also other particles of a jet.



Figure 1. The θ_2-dependence of the $P_2^{\rm true}$.

In conclusion, we present some arguments in favour of a non-zero T-odd transversely polarized quark fragmentation function. It could be responsible for the asymmetry in deep inelastic scattering and for inclusive pion asymmetry off transversally polarized target (see talk of M.Anselmino).

REFERENCES

1. M. Anselmino, A. Efremov and E. Leader, Phys. Rep. **265** (1995) 1.
2. D. Boer and P.J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 5780.
3. D. Boer, R. Jakob and P.J. Mulders, Phys. Lett. **B424** (1998) 143.
4. P.J. Mulders and R. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. **B461** (1995) 234; D. Boer and R. Tangerman, Phys. Lett. **B381** (1996) 305.
5. A. Kotzinian, Nucl. Phys. **B441** (1995) 236.
6. S. Gasiorowich, Elementary particle physics (Wiley, New-York,1966) p.515.
7. J. Collins, Nucl. Phys. **B396** (1993) 161.
8. R.L. Jaffe, X. Ji and J. Tang, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 5920; Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 1166.
9. J. Collins et al., Nucl.Phys. **B420** (1994) 565.
10. X. Artru, J. Collins, Z. Phys. **C69** (1996) 277.
11. DELPHI-Collab., W. Bonivento *et al.* Int. Note DELPHI-95-81. Contr. eps0549 to EPS-HEP conf., Brussels (1995).
12. DELPHI Collab., P. Aarnio *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B240** (1990) 271.