## High Precision Beam Position Monitor for the TESLA Spectrometer

#### Alexei Liapine TU-Berlin

Zeuthen, 17th March 2003

## **BPM** in the Spectrometer



• the resolution of BPMs has to be higher than  $1\mu m$  (100nm preferred)

• the dynamic range has to be possibly wide to avoid mecanical movement

• BPMs must work with a beam, which is not parallel to z-axis

## **BPM** Proposal



The exitation of the dipole mode in a cylindrical cavity is proportional to the beam offset from the cavity center:  $E_{110} = CJ_1(j_{11}r/R)\cos\phi$ 

## **BPM** Proposal



In order to avoid the modes which are stronger than the dipole mode a mode-selective structure of the cavity is proposed.

### **BPM** Proposal



The dipole mode TM110 couples to the lowest wave of the waveguide - TE01, while the monopole modes couple to the higher wave – TM11, which has a higher cut-off frequency. Therefore the monopole modes don't propagate in the waveguide. 17 March 2003

## Angle-dependence



The dipole mode is also exited if the beam is not parallel to z-axis The ratio of two components:  $\frac{\Delta V_{110}^{angle}}{\Delta V_{110}^{angle}} \approx i \frac{\theta}{kr} \left(1 - \frac{kl}{2} ctg \frac{kl}{2}\right)$ 



#### Closed monitor with the waveguides



Opened cavity



Antenna 17 March 2003



Contact inside the waveguide



Waveguide

Parameter	TM010	TM110
f, MHz	1010	1518
Q <sub>0</sub>	2110	1620
Q <sub>ext</sub>	$\rightarrow \infty$	820
Damping time, ns	660	115
V <sub>in</sub> , V	1450	4.0/mm
V <sub>out</sub> , mV	9.3(0.005)/100µm of slot offset	0.06/100nm of beam offset
V <sub>noise</sub> , µV		1.6
V <sub>angle</sub> /V <sub>offset</sub>		34 (0.5mrad, 100nm)



The cavity was tested with a network analyser in order to check its resonant frequency and the quality factor



## Electronics



The electronics for the monitor is designed using the homodyne principle



The apperance of the electronics

#### Electronics



Characteristic of the position channel

Characteristic of the charge channel

## Measurements with Electronics

6.000E-2



1. Linescan in wide range  $\sigma$ =180nm

2. Linescan in narrow range  $\sigma$ =20nm

3. Linescan after tuning  $\sigma$ =200nm in -1..+1mm



#### Conclusions



relative resolution is about 200nm
linear range is -1mm...+1mm
moderate mechanical tolerances
relative simple and cheap electronics
strong angle-dependent component
large and heavy

- hard to provide high vacuum

## Alternatives



5.5 GHz cavity

+ smaller, easier to handle
+ weak angle-dependent component
+ stronger dipole mode signal
+ better time resolution
- critical production tolerances
- smaller linear range (250µm)
- more complicated electronics

#### Alternatives



We want to measure not the offset, but the angle Probably TE111-cavity is the right one for that purpose