Low energy neutrino astronomy and nucleon decay searches with next generation large underground detectors



#### Astroteilchenphysil

in Deutschland: Status und Perspektiven 2005

4.-5. Oktober 2005, DESY, Zeuthen

γ-Astronomie, kosmische Strahlung, Neutrino-Astrophysik, Neutrinomassen, Dunkle Materie, Gravitationswellen, Kosmolog

ogrammkomitee Artos, T. Beighder, J. Bürner, K. Danzmann, Dirodin, F. K. Felizsch, W. Tiefmann, J. Jochum, Raftet, C. Bolh, C. Spinning

> Konforenzsekinstani Marina Mande Tel. 033762-77 367 email: astro05@desy.de www-zeuthen desy delastro-works



André Rubbia (ETH Zürich)

Astroteilchenphysik in Deutschland: Status und Perspektiven 2005 DESY, Zeuthen 04.-05. Oktober 2005

## Large underground detectors for nucleon decay search

Kamiokande

Various large detectors have been built to search for proton decays. No signal has been found...

50'000'000 kg of Water ≈ 10<sup>34</sup> protons

Super-Kamiokande

IMB

# Negative results from proton decay searches...



Tracking-calorimeters & Water Cerenkov detectors
Best limits above 10<sup>32</sup> yrs from WC





cos0

cos0

#### The need for new generation experiments...

# Still many unsolved or unachieved issues...



# Nucleon (proton) decay

• The understanding of the Grand Unification is one of the most challenging still-open goal of particle physics!

# **1. Baryon number violation:**

- Unification of electroweak and strong force
- New fundamental symmetry between quarks & leptons
- Transmutation between quarks and leptons: proton unstable

# 2. Grand-Unification scheme

- Depends on SUSY or no-SUSY
- What are the branching fractions?
- $p \rightarrow e^+\pi^0$ , vK<sup>+</sup>, other decay modes





# Supernova type-II neutrinos

•Access supernova and neutrino physics simultaneously

•Decouple supernova & neutrino properties via different detection channels

# **1.** Supernova physics:

- Gravitational collapse mechanism
- Supernova evolution in time
- Cooling of the proto-neutron star
- Nucleosynthesis of heavy elements
- Black hole formation
- Exotic effects

# 2. Neutrino properties

- Neutrino mass (time of flight delay)
- Oscillation parameters (flavor transformation in SN core and/or in Earth): Type of mass hierarchy and  $\theta_{13}$  mixing angle

# 3. Early alert for astronomers

• Pointing to the supernova





# Neutrino properties (w/o accelerators)

•Astrophysical neutrinos observation with more statistics and improved detection method will be important

# **1.** Atmospheric neutrinos:

High statistics, from observation to precision measurements

L/E dependence

Sterile neutrinos and tau appearance

Electron appearance  $\theta_{13}$ 

Earth matter effects and sign of  $\Delta m^2_{\ 23}$ 

**CP-violation** 

# 2. Solar neutrinos

High statistics, precision measurement of flux D/N asymmetry Time variation of flux Solar flares







•••

# Neutrino properties (with accelerators)

•A very broad programme at various new neutrino facilities extending over many decades!

•Includes conventional beams, superbeams, beta-beams and neutrino factories.

• Each step benefits from results of previous one

•Require >MW "proton driver"

# **1. Precision measurement:**

- → Precision measurement of  $(\theta_{23}, \Delta m_{32}^2)$  with error < 1%
- Measure Earth-matter effects

# 2. Discoveries

δ<sub>CP</sub>

- $\theta_{13}$
- $\checkmark$  sign( $\Delta m^2_{32}$ )





# **Examples of baselines from CERN:**



# Geo-neutrinos

•Geoneutrinos are a new probe to test Earth's interior!

# **1.** Geophysics:

- Test the U/Th/K content in Earth (mantle, core)
- How much heat is primordial?
- Get the distribution of radioactive elements through the earth
- Test if there are radioactive elements in the core (<sup>40</sup>K?)
- Any other (nuclear reactor in core?)

# 2. In particular, HEAT

- What is the source of terrestrial heat flow?
- Understanding Earth's heat is fundamental for explaining many phenomena like e.g. volcanoes, earthquakes, ...





# Next generation

# detectors ?

# New large underground detectors

• Three types of large multi-purpose detectors





# Liquid Argon (≈10-100 kton)

•In the context of future LBL, different types (large magnetic iron detector, large fully active & segmented scintillator detectors) have been considered, however, are not discussed here.

# Preliminary general remarks

#### Megaton Water-Cerenkov

- ➡ Well-proven technology (IMB, K, SK) for large scale. Concept for Megaton scale. Feasible if only PMT cost reduction achievable (?)
- Optimal for low energy 1-prong interactions (E<1 GeV, single ring)</p>
- $\blacktriangleright$  Broad neutrino astrophysics program and proton decay ( $e\pi^0$ , vK, ...)
- Possibility to use with low energy superbeam or betabeam

#### • Large Liquid Scintillator detector

- ► New concept for ≈30 kt detector
- $\blacktriangleright$  Broad neutrino astrophysics program and proton decay (vK, ...)
- Particularly suited for studying geoneutrinos

#### • Large Liquid Argon TPC

- Electronic bubble chamber developed by ICARUS Collaboration
- ➡ ICARUS T600 tested on surface
- ► New concept for scalable 1-10-100 kton detectors
- Broad neutrino astrophysics program
- $\Rightarrow$  Excellent technology for proton decay (many channels  $e\pi^0$ , vK,...)
- → Possibility to magnetize demonstrated. Large scale under study.
- ► Possibility to use with superbeam, betabeam or neutrino factory



How big a cavern can we construct underground? A challenge to the mining engineering community

> Possible application in the future: Large underground facility/storage Large underground living space

# **Characteristics for Large Excavations**



- Rock type / rock chemistry
  - Creep & solubility are the principal issues
- Rock quality / In situ stress
  - Commonly influences costs by a factor of 2 to 4, could make a site unfeasible
- Access / rock removal
  - → Can influence costs significantly, but is very site dependent

# Water Cerenkov

detectors

#### **Mton Water Cherenkov Detector**

 Concept of a Mton water Cherenkov detector dates back to 1992
 M. Koshiba: "DOUGHNUTS" Phys. Rep. 220 (1992) 229

 Concept of Hyper-Kamiokande was first presented at NNN99 @ SUNY

• A recent write-up:

K. Nakamura, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A18 (2003) 4053 50kton Water Cherenkov detector located at 1000m underground





**50'000'000 kg of Water** Light produced in Water observed with 11146 20-inch photodetectors

About 170  $\gamma$ /cm in 350 <  $\lambda$  < 500 nm

With 40% PMT coverage, Q.E.≈20%

⇒≈14 photoelectrons / cm

Relativistic particle produces

⇒≈7 p.e. per MeV

Superkamiokande in Kamioka Mine (Japan)

lepton

# Next-generation water Cherenkov detectors: Hyperkamiokande

- 1 Million tons detector motivated by
   Proton decay (≈2x10<sup>35</sup> protons)
- Long baseline Superbeams (CP-violation)
- Atmospheric neutrinos
- Solar neutrinos (if deep enough)
- Supernova neutrinos





Phase-I (0.77MW + Super-K) Phase-II (4MW+Hyper-K) ~ Phase-I × 200

#### Status:

- •Location defined (Toshibora Mine)
- •Cavern study performed
- Photodetector R&D on-going
- >100'000 PMTs needed
- •Major issue: cost reduction!
- •Hope to construct following results from T2K-Phase 1 (2013-2022 ?)

## Tentative schedule (Japan)





Assuming performance like in SK

M. Shiozawa

# Example: Supernova studies with megaton WC detector Main sensitivity: anti-neutrino electrons arriving at detector (oscillation!)



# Next-generation water Cherenkov detectors (II)





# Can PMT's be manufactured at a much lower cost? A challenge to the photo-detector/PMT manufacturers

NNN05-Aussois, April 2005

**Chang Kee Jung** 

# **R&D** on photodetectors

#### **IMB / KamiokaNDE** $\Rightarrow$ **Super-K** $\Rightarrow$ **Hyper-K / UNO** In each generation <u>one order of magnitude</u> increase in mass

	Super-K	Hyper-K	UNO		
Total mass [kton]	50	2 x 500	650		
Fiducial mass [kton]	22.5	2 x 270	440		
Size	Φ41 m x 39 m	2 x	60 m x 60 m x 180 m		
		Φ43 m x 250 m			
Photo-sensor coverage [%]	40	40	$\approx$ 40 (5 MeV threshold)		
			$\approx$ <b>10</b> (10 MeV threshold)		
PMT's	<u>11,146</u> (20")	<u>200,000</u> (20")	<u>56,650</u> (20")		
			15,000 (8")		
			,		

A large fraction (1/2 or more) of the total detector cost comes from the photo-sensors

With present 20" PMT's and 40% coverage for the full detector, the cost of a Mton detector could be prohibitive

#### **R&D on photo-sensors, in collaboration with industries** to improve:

•cost

production rate: affects construction time and may give serious storage problems
performance: time resolution (v vertex), single photon sensitivity (ring reconstruction)

# R&D on PMTs





Japan: 200'000Y per 20" PMT USA: \$1500 per 20" PMT EU: 800€ per 12" PMT

What is the optimal PMT size? Include electronics ("smart") ?

#### Burle 20" PMT R&D

#### New bulb design: "Truncated bulb"

Uniform E-field in front of cathode





#### Goal:

Fully automatic production of 20" PMTs
 Aim ~\$1,500/PMT

#### Photodetector R&D in France

- R&D launched after NNN05 but based on ongoing R&D with Photonis
- IPN-Orsay, LAL & Photonis together in an official GIS to develop Smart-Photodetectors (*ie electronic up to ADC/TDC included*): 6 engineers + 2 post-docs + Photonis engineers
- 200k€/3yrs has been asked at the new National Research Agency (ANR)

Photonis @ NNN05: 500,000 PMT -12"- 800€/u

# Liquid Scintillator

detectors

# Low Energy Neutrino Astrophysics (LENA)



# **Tentative construction site**

 Loading of detector via pipeline

Transport of 30kt PXE
 via railway

No fundamental security problem with PXE!

 No fundamental problem for excavation

Standard technology (PMT, electronics, ...)

Other possibility:
 PYLOS in
 Mediterranean sea



LENA seems feasible in Pyhäsalmi! Cost ≈ 100-200 M€

# Galactic Supernova neutrino detection with Lena



Neutral current interactions; info on all flavours ~ 4000 and ~ 2200

Event rates for a SN type IIa in the galactic center (10 kpc)



Kaon track is visible (unlike in Water Cerenkov detectors) Timing structure and excellent energy resolution reduce backgrounds

>4x10<sup>34</sup> yrs in 10 years (≈1 event background)

#### Possibility to detect geo-neutrinos

Detection mechanism via the reaction:

 $\overline{V}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$ 

•Full reconstruction gives angular resolution (half cone aperture): ≈26°

•Reconstruction of angular distribution of incoming geoneutrinos

Backgrounds?

Distinction between different geophysical model possible!



# Liquid Argon detectors

Two target mass scales for future projects:

- **100 ton** as near detector in Super-Beams (not discussed here)
- <u>10-100 kton</u> for v oscillation, v astrophysics, proton decay



- The Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber: a new concept for Neutrino Detector, C. Rubbia, CERN-EP/77-08 (1977).
- A study of ionization electrons drifting large distances in liquid and solid Argon, E. Aprile, K.L. Giboni and C. Rubbia, NIM A251 (1985) 62.
- A 3 ton liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber, ICARUS Collab., NIM A332 (1993) 395.
- Performance of a 3 ton liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber, ICARUS Collab., NIM A345 (1994) 230.
- The ICARUS 501 LAr TPC in the CERN neutrino beam, ICARUS Collab, hep-ex/9812006 (1998).



- Design, construction and tests of the ICARUS T600 detector, ICARUS Collab, NIM A527 329 (2004).
- Study of electron recombination in liquid Argon with the ICARUS TPC, ICARUS Collab, NIMA523 275-286 (2004).
- Detection of Cerenkov light emission in liquid Argon, ICARUS Collab, NIM A516 348-363 (2004).
- Analysis of the liquid Argon purity in the ICARUS T600 TPC, ICARUS Collab, NIM A516 68-79 (2004).
- Observation of long ionizing tracks with the ICARUS T600 first half module, ICARUS Collab, NIM A508 287 (2003).
- Measurement of the muon decay spectrum with the ICARUS liquid Argon TPC, ICARUS Collab, EPJ C33 233-241 (2004).

# Liquid Argon TPC: Electronic bubble chamber

#### **Data from ICARUS T600 test run: 27000 triggers from cosmic ray interactions**





T300 semi-modules transportation from Pavia to Gran Sasso: November-December 2004



#### Latest event:

•The ICARUS T3000 (3 kton @ LNGS/CNGS) program has suffered serious setbacks in part due to the well-known LNGS closure, which have halted its realization for as much as 3 years.

•The INFN President has communicated in June 2005 to the ICARUS Collaboration the intention **not** to provide the foreseen funds for the first T1200 construction.

•At the same time, INFN encouraged the Collaboration to proceed along with the proposal of a future program focused on the realization of a large mass (~5 kton?) LAr TPC based on a single tank, monolithic-design to be possibly installed in the LNGS territory, as a scalable test module in view of a larger mass facility, in particular meant for proton decay searches.

•In parallel, INFN welcomes and intends to support the commissioning of the existing T600 module (already at LNGS) to mainly act as a demonstrator of the technique in view of future implementations.

# Where to go next ? A graded strategy ? We consider different mass scales:

- A O(1 kton) "shallow-depth" demonstrator could be readily envisaged to demonstrate the technical choices. Cost ≈ 10 M€
- A O(10 kton) prototype (10% full-scale) could be readily envisaged as an engineering design test with a physics program of its own. Cost ≈ 80 M€
- A O(100 kton) liquid Argon TPC will deliver extraordinary physics output. It will be an ideal match for a future Superbeam, Betabeam or Neutrino Factory. This program is very challenging. Cost ≈ 400 M€

 An open issue is the necessity of a magnetic field encompassing the liquid Argon volume (only necessary for the neutrino factory). We have demonstrated the possibility to use magnetic field in a small prototype. Cost ≈ +100 M € ?

- Experiments for CP violation: a giant liquid Argon scintillation, Cerenkov and charge imaging experiment, A.Rubbia, Proc. II Int. Workshop on Neutrinos in Venice, 2003, Italy, hep-ph/0402110
- Ideas for future liquid Argon detectors, A. Ereditato and A.Rubbia, Proc. Third International Workshop on Neutrino-Nucleus Interactions in the Few GeV Region, NUINT04, March 2004, Gran Sasso, Italy, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.139:301-310,2005, hep-ex/0409034
- Ideas for a next generation liquid Argon TPC detector for neutrino physics and nucleon decay searches, A. Ereditato and A.Rubbia, Proc. Workshop on Physics with a Multi-MW proton source, May 2004, CERN, Switzerland, submitted to SPSC Villars session
- Very massive underground detectors for proton decay searches, A.Rubbia, Proc. XI Int. Conf. on Calorimetry in H.E.P., CALOR04, Perugia, Italy, March 2004, hep-ph/0407297
- Liquid Argon TPC: mid & long term strategy and on-going R&D, A.Rubbia, Proc. Int. Conf. on NF and Superbeam, NUFACT04, Osaka, Japan, July 2004
- Liquid Argon TPC: a powerful detector for future neutrino experiments, A.Ereditato and A. Rubbia, HIF05, La Biodola, Italy, May 2005, hep-ph/0509022
- Neutrino detectors for future experiments, A.Rubbia, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 147 (2005) 103.
- Very large liquid Argon TPC chambers, A.Rubbia, NUFACT05, Frascati, Italy, June 2005.



# T2K-2km working group (27 institutes, 93 members)

Boston University (USA):	E. Kearns, M. Litos, J. Raaf, J. Stone, L.R. Sulak	
CEA Saclay (France):	J. Bouchez, C. Cavata, M. Fechner, L. Mosca, F. Pierre, M. Zito	
CIEMAT (Spain):	I. Gil-Botella, P. Ladron de Guevara, L. Romero	
Columbia University (USA):	E. Aprile, K. Giboni, K.Ni, M. Yamashita	
Duke University (USA):	K. Scholberg, N. Tanimoto, C.W. Walter	
ETHZ (Switzerland):	W. Bachmann, A. Badertscher, M. Baer, Y. Ge, M. Laffranchi, A. Meregaglia, M. Messina, G. Natterer,	
	A. Rubbia, T.Viant	
ICRR University of Tokyo (Japan):	I. Higuchi, Y. Itow, T. Kajita, K. Kaneyuki, Y. Koshi, M. Miura ,S. Moriyama, N. Nakahata,	
	S. Nakayama, T. Namba, K. Okumura, Y. Obayashi, C. Saji, M. Shiozawa, Y. Suzuki,	
	Y. Takeuchi	
INFN Napoli (Italy):	A. Ereditato	
INFN Frascati (Italy):	G. Mannocchi	
LNGS (Italy):	O. Palamara	
Louisiana State University (USA):	S. Dazeley, S. Hatakeyama, R. McNeil, W. Metcalf, R. Svoboda	
L'Aquila University (Italy):	F. Cavanna, G. Piano-Mortari	
Niewodniczanski Institute Krakow (Poland):	A. Szelc, A. Zalewska	
RAS (Russia):	A. Butkevich, S.P. Mikheyev	
Silesia University Katowice (Poland):	J. Holeczek, J. Kisiel	
Soltan Institute Warszawa (Poland):	P. Przewlocki, E. Rondio	
University of California, Irvine (USA):	D. Casper, J. Dunmore, S. Mine, H.W. Sobel, W.R. Kropp, M.B. Smy, M.R. Vagins	
University of California, Los Angeles (USA):	D. Cline, M. Felcini, B. Lisowski, C. Matthey, S. Otwinowski	
IN2P3 IPN-Lyon (France) :	D. Autiero, Y. Declais, J. Marteau	
Universidad de Granada (Spain):	A. Bueno, S. Navas-Concha	
University of Sheffield (UK):	P.K. Lightfoot, N. Spooner	
Universit`a di Torino (Italy) :	P. Picchi	
University of Valencia (Spain):	J.J. Cadenas	
University of Washington, Seattle (USA):	H. Berns, R. Gran, J. Wilkes	
Warsaw University (Poland):	D. Kielczewska	
Wroclaw University (Poland):	J. Sobczyk	
Yale University (USA):	A. Curioni, B.T. Fleming	

# Giant Liquid Argon Charge Imaging ExpeRiment (GLACIER)

Electronic crates



12 groups ≈25 people

h =20 m Max drift length

Passive perlite insulation

hep-ph/0402110 Venice, 2003

Cryogenic insulation requires minimal surface/volume: A single very large cryogenic module with aspect ratio ~ 1:1

Do not pursue the ICARUS multi-module approach



Single module cryo-tanker based on industrial LNG technology

# GLACIER people (12 groups, ≈25 people)

#### ETHZ (CH):

Granada University (Spain): INP Krakow (Poland): INFN Naples (Italy): INR Moscow (Russia): IPN Lyon (France): Sheffield University (UK): Southampton University (UK): US Katowice (Poland): UPS Warszawa (Poland): UW Warszawa (Poland):

- A. Badertscher, L. Knecht, M. Laffranchi, A. Meregaglia, M. Messina, G. Natterer, P.Otiougova, A. Rubbia, J. Ulbricht
- A. Bueno, J. Lozano, S. Navas
- A. Zalewska
- A. Ereditato
- S. Gninenko
- D. Autiero, Y. Déclais, J. Marteau
- N. Spooner
- C. Beduz, Y. Yang
- J. Kisiel
- E. Rondio
- D. Kielczewska
- J. Sobczyk

#### Many thanks to:



Tools for Discovery

Technodyne Ltd, Eastleigh, UK

CUPRUM (KGHM group), Wroclaw, Poland

CAEN, Viareggio, Italy

#### Proton decay examples



LAr TPC provides the ultimate fine-grain tracking and calorimetry necessary for proton decay searches



### Supernova events: cooling phase detection

	Scenario I: expected events in 100 kton detector						
	$\langle E_{\nu_e} \rangle = 11 \text{ MeV},  \langle E_{\bar{\nu}_e} \rangle = 16 \text{ MeV},  \langle E_{\nu_x} \rangle = \langle E_{\bar{\nu}_x} \rangle = 25 \text{ MeV}$						
	and luminosity equipartition						
	Reaction	Without	Oscillation (n.h.)		Oscillation (i.h.)		
		oscillation	Large $\theta_{13}$	Small $\theta_{13}$	Large $\theta_{13}$	Small $\theta_{13}$	
V x + e	$e^- \rightarrow v_x + e^-$	1330	1330	1330	1330	1330	
$V_{e}^{+40}$	$Ar \rightarrow {}^{40}K^* + e^-$	6240	31320	23820	23820	23820	
${e} + {}^{44}$	$Ar  {}^{44}Cl^* + e^+$	540	1110	1110	2420	1110	
$v^{(-)}_{x} + v^{40}A$	$Ar \rightarrow {}^{40}Ar^* + v_x$	30440	30440	30440	30440	30440	
	TOTAL	38550	64200	56700	58010	56700	

For a SN at a distance d=10 kpc

 $Qv_eCC = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$   $Qv_eCC = 7.48 \text{ MeV}$   $Q_{NC} = 1.46 \text{ MeV}$ 

Possibility to statistically separate the various channels by a classification of the associated **photons from the K, Cl or Ar deexcitation** (specific spectral lines for **CC** and **NC**) or by the **absence of photons** (**ES**)

# A tentative detector layout

<u>Single detector</u>: charge imaging, scintillation, possibly Cerenkov light

Dewar	$_{\phi}$ $\thickapprox$ 70 m, height $\thickapprox$ 20 m, perlite insulated, heat input $\thickapprox$ 5 W/m²
Argon storage	Boiling Argon, low pressure (<100 mbar overpressure)
Argon total volume	73000 m³, ratio area/volume ≈ 15%
Argon total mass	102000 tons
Hydrostatic pressure at bottom	3 atmospheres
Inner detector dimensions	Disc $\phi \approx 70$ m located in gas phase above liquid phase
Charge readout electronics	100000 channels, 100 racks on top of the dewar
Scintillation light readout	Yes (also for triggering), 1000 immersed 8" PMTs with WLS
Visible light readout	Yes (Cerenkov light), 27000 immersed 8" PMTs of 20% coverage, single $\gamma$ counting capability



# Charge extraction, amplification, readout

#### Detector is running in bi-phase mode TO ALLOW FOR A VERY LONG DRIFT PATH

- Long drift (≈ 20 m) ⇒ charge attenuation to be compensated by charge amplification near anodes located in gas phase (18000 e<sup>-</sup>/ 3 mm for a MIP in LAr)
- E.g. LEM, GEM Amplification operates in proportional mode After maximum drift of 20 m @ 1 kV/cm  $\Rightarrow$  diffusion  $\approx$  readout pitch  $\approx$  3 mm Amplification can be implemented in different ways: wires+pad, GEM, LEM, Micromegas 20 m maximum drift, HV = 2 MV for E = 1 kV/cm, **Electron drift in liquid** GAr  $v_d \approx 2 \text{ mm/}\mu\text{s}$ , max drift time  $\approx 10 \text{ ms}$ E.g. wires Readout 2 perpendicular views, 3 mm pitch, Charge readout view 100000 readout channels Extraction grid **Maximum charge**  $\sigma \approx 2.8 \text{ mm} (\sqrt{2}\text{Dt}_{max} \text{ for } \text{D} = 4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})$ diffusion race tracks Maximum charge  $e^{-(tmax/\tau)} \approx 1/150$  for  $\tau = 2$  ms electron lifetime attenuation **Needed charge** From 100 to 1000 amplification e-Methods for Extraction to and amplification in gas phase amplification LAr Thin wires ( $\phi \approx 30 \ \mu$ m) + pad readout, GEM, LEM, **Possible solutions** Micromegas... Total area ≈ 3850 m<sup>2</sup>

# **R&D effort on-going** ( $\rightarrow$ 2008?)

- Study of suitable charge extraction, amplification and imaging devices
- Understanding of charge drift properties under high hydrostatic pressure
- Realization and test of a 5 m long detector column-like prototype
- Study of prototypes in magnetic field



- Study of large liquid underground storage tank in collaboration with industry, costing
- Study of logistics, infrastructure and safety issues for underground sites
- Study of large scale argon purification

**Design with Technodyne (UK)** 

### High gain operation of LEM in pure Ar at high pressure

•Fe-55 & Cd-109 sources, Argon 100%
•Varying pressures (from 1 bar up to 3.5 bar)
•Room temperature

•Drift field ≈100V/cm (100% transparency)









#### **Results from HV tests in cold**

- A large number of tests in cold have been performed in order to assess component choice and stability.
- The largest system successfully operated consisted of 80 stages and reached stable operation up to 120 kV.
- Test to 240 kV (≈4kV/cm) in preparation.





# (4) Long drift, extraction, amplification: "ARGONTUBE"



- High voltage test (up to 500 kV)
- Design & assembly:

completed: external dewar, detector container in progress: inner detector, readout system, ...

#### Results in 2006





### First operation of a 10 It LAr TPC embedded in a B-field

#### First real events in B-field (B=0.55T):

New J. Phys. 7 (2005) 63



![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Important for e.g. neutrino factory:

It is possible to directly consider both CP ("golden") and T-violation ("platinum") searches at a NF. In addition, the "silver" mode might kinematically be accessible.

Nucl. Phys. B 631 239; Nucl. Phys. B 589 577; hep-ph/0402110; hep-ph/0106088

## **Tentative layout of a large magnetized GLACIER**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Concepts for new generation large underground detectors are being developed**

# A lot of work is going on

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

Very interesting times for the future of low energy neutrino physics and proton decay searches.

Work done by individual groups and proto-collaborations. Requires support by the respective institutions and more coordinated EU (and international) efforts.

It is likely that not all new ideas will be realized. All groups must seek to increase forces and reach sufficiently large critical size.

# Backup slides