Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Nuclear Astrophysics -Nucleosynthesis of the heavy elements

Michael Heil Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

Astroteilchenphysik, Zeuthen, October, 2005

Outline

One of the main goals of Nuclear Astrophysics is to explain **how** and **where** the chemical elements were produced.

- Evolution of stars
- Chemical evolution of Galaxy
- Age of the Universe



Outline

- Nucleosynthesis processes of heavy elements overview
- Recent observations of metal-poor halo stars and consequences for the nucleosynthesis
- Results of (n,γ) cross section measurements (activation method)
- Conclusions

Nucleosynthesis of the heavy elements





- s-process is secondary, not unique, models very advanced
- data needs: neutron capture cross sections, stellar β -decay rates
- reliable abundance calculations if (n,γ) cross sections are accurate



Nucleosynthesis r-process

one unique r-process Supernovae (asymmetric) Neutrino driven winds of neutron star Neutron star merger

data needs:

neutron separation energies (masses) Half-lives,

neutron capture cross sections during freeze-out



p-process: contributes only marginally to the synthesis of the elements

Stellar model calculations of AGB stars in comparison with the solar abundances

r-residuals method

$$N_r = N_{solar} - N_s$$



Arlandini et al. ApJ 525 (1999) 886

Abundances from r-process studies

- Since many of the input parameters (masses, β -decay rates, ...) are not known, reliable abundance calculations are not available.
- r-process cannot be described with one single parameter set.



K. L. Kratz et. al., Ap.J. 403, (1993) 216

Observation of metal-poor halo stars

Metal-poor halo stars should show pure r-abundances Comparison of observed abundances and scaled N_r





Sum rule: s + p + r = 100 %

Weak s: Raiteri et al. ApJ 419 (1993) 207

Main s: Arlandini et al. ApJ 525 (1999) 886

Galactic chemical evolution: Travaglio et al. ApJ 601 (2004) 864

r-abundances from halo stars: Sneden et al., Ap. J. 591 (2003) 936

p-process: Mo: 24 %

Ru: 7 %



Sum rule for s-only

There must be an "s-like" process since s-only isotopes are also underproduced



Weak s-process – example $^{62}Ni(n,\gamma)$

Recommended cross section (Bao et al.) at kT=30 keV:12.5 mbNew measurement (Nassar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 092504):28.4 mb



Nuclear data needs for the weak s-process

s-process abundances are determined mainly by Maxwellian averaged neutron capture cross sections for thermal energies of kT=25 – 90 keV.



Problems:

- small cross sections
- resonance dominated
- contributions from direct capture

Methods:

• TOF:

measure $\sigma(E_n)$ between 0.1 and 500 keV by time of flight, determine MACS for stellar spectrum

• Activation: produce stellar spectrum at kT=25 keV in laboratory, measure directly MACS

Results - neutron capture cross sections

Isotope	MACS @ kT=30 keV	Bao et al. @ kT=30keV
	in mbarn	in mbarn
⁴⁵ Sc	57 ± 2	69 ± 5
⁵⁹ Co	41 ± 2	38 ± 4
⁶³ Cu	53 ± 2	94 ± 10
⁶⁵ Cu	29 ± 2	41 ± 5
⁷⁹ Br	626 ± 19	627 ± 42
⁸¹ Br	241 ± 9	313 ± 16
⁸⁷ Rb	16.1 ± 2.0	15.5 ± 1.5

Results – weak s-process abundances

25 M_{\odot} star at the end of carbon shell burning



Stellar model calculations performed by Marco Pignatari

Conclusions

- We have a good description of the main s-process
- Models of the weak s-process and especially the r-process have to be improved
- Observation of metal-poor halo stars suggest:
 - a robust and unique r-process
 - an additional s- and/or r-component
- Can the weak s-process account for the missing part?

We don't know before

neutron capture cross sections of **all** involved isotopes are measured!

